UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,628	11/12/2003	Tyler Thomas Parham	Tyler 2 US	9272
	7590 10/17/200 ual Property Law Grou	EXAMINER		
1900 EMBARC	CADERO ROAD	CARLOS, ALVIN LEABRES		
SUITE 109 PALO ALTO, (	CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3715	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

megan@trellislaw.com jack@trellislaw.com docket@trellislaw.com

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/71	2,628	PARHAM, TYLEF	PARHAM, TYLER THOMAS			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		ALVIN	L. CARLOS	3714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	dress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN IS SOME THE MAIN IN THE MAIN	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. tatutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MO application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 14 July 2008	}					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 July 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□		<i>′</i> —		itters prosecution as to the	e merits is			
<u>ا</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	is/are allowed.							
'=	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner						
• —	•		epted or b)⊟ obi	ected to by the Examiner.				
. 9/23	10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
		-	•	, ,	FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/14/2008</u> .		6)  Other: _					

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 14, 2008 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cannon US20030119581 in view of Schneider 6358149.

Re claim 1, Cannon teaches a method for enabling multiple networked gaming devices to participate in a secondary game (paragraphs 0019, 0020, 0048), the method comprising: providing a first gaming device for initiating a primary game (paragraphs 0049-0051), qualifying the first gaming device to participate in a secondary game by using a predetermined primary game outcome (paragraphs 0049-0051), qualifying additional gaming devices to participate in the secondary game by using predetermined primary game outcomes (paragraphs 0021, 0048-0049), increasing a payout award of

Art Unit: 3714

the secondary game by a value (paragraphs 0059-0060), and initiating the secondary game and awarding, to every gaming device qualified to participate in the secondary game the payout award of the secondary game (paragraphs 0058-0061).

However, Cannon fails to teach the following claim limitation as taught by Schneider: the additional gaming devices are qualified during a designated duration after said first gaming device is qualified or during a predetermined number of primary game plays after qualification of said first gaming device (see figures 5-6, column 7 lines 21-26), increasing the secondary payout award after each additional gaming device is qualified (column 8 lines 49-57, column 10 lines 34-67 and column 11 lines 4-13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to modify Cannon invention in view of Schneider in order to provide an electronic gaming system and method that adjust the threshold to initiate a bonus period depending upon the number of eligible gaming machines over a network link, and a bonusing system has a demonstrable effect on game payback percentage to encourage play and increase enjoyment as taught by Schneider (paragraph 0017 lines 1-4).

Re claim 2, Cannon teaches a gaming network having a plurality of gaming devices, a method of involving multiple players and their gaming devices in a secondary type game (paragraphs 0019 and 0029), the method comprising: initiating a primary type game by using a first gaming device (paragraphs 0029 and 0049), qualifying the first gaming device to participate in a secondary type game (paragraphs 0029 and 0050),

Art Unit: 3714

However, Cannon fails to teach the following claim limitation as taught by Schneider: triggering a secondary game indication cycle to run before the secondary type game is initiated and the secondary game indication cycle is capable of running for a predetermined duration (see figures 5 and 8, column 7 lines 8-12), qualifying additional gaming devices to participate in the secondary type game during said predetermined duration of said secondary game indication cycle (column 7 lines 21-25), initiating the secondary type game upon conclusion of said secondary game indication cycle (column 8 lines 3-24), and awarding payout awards to every gaming device qualified to participate in the secondary type game (column 10 lines 34-67 and column 11 lines 4-13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to modify Cannon invention in view of Schneider in order to provide an electronic gaming system and method that adjust the threshold to initiate a bonus period depending upon the number of eligible gaming machines over a network link, and a bonusing system has a demonstrable effect on game payback percentage to encourage play and increase enjoyment as taught by Schneider (paragraph 0017 lines 1-4).

Re claim 3, Cannon teaches a multiplier (paragraphs 0007, 0058-0059).

However, Cannon fails to teach the following claim limitation as taught by Schneider: the method further comprising increasing the secondary payout award after each additional gaming device is qualified (column 10 lines 6-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to modify Cannon invention in view of Schneider in order to provide an

Art Unit: 3714

electronic gaming system and method that adjust the threshold to initiate a bonus period depending upon the number of eligible gaming machines over a network link, and a bonusing system has a demonstrable effect on game payback percentage to encourage play and increase enjoyment as taught by Schneider (paragraph 0017 lines 1-4).

Re claim 4, Cannon teaches a method for enabling multiple networked gaming devices to participate in a secondary game (paragraphs 0035 and 0039-0045), the method comprising: providing a first gaming device that qualifies for a secondary game (paragraphs 0049-0050).

However, Cannon fails to teach the following claim limitation as taught by Schneider: providing a secondary game indication cycle indicative that the first gaming device has qualified for the secondary game (see figures 5 and 8, column 7 lines 8-12), qualifying additional gaming devices to participate in the secondary game before expiration of said secondary game indication cycle (column 7 lines 21-25), and initiating the secondary game (column 8 lines 3-24), and awarding a payout award to all qualified gaming devices including the first gaming device and the additional devices qualified to participate in the secondary game (column 10 lines 34-67 and column 11 lines 4-13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to modify Cannon invention in view of Schneider in order to provide an electronic gaming system and method that adjust the threshold to initiate a bonus period depending upon the number of eligible gaming machines over a network link, and a bonusing system has a demonstrable effect on game payback percentage to encourage play and increase enjoyment as taught by Schneider (paragraph 0017 lines 1-4).

Re claim 5, Cannon i.v., Schneider teaches the invention as discussed above. In addition, Schneider teaches increasing the secondary payout award after each additional gaming device is qualified (column 10 lines 6-33).

Re claim 6, Cannon i.v., Schneider teaches the invention as discussed above. In addition, Schneider teaches further comprising qualifying the first gaming device for additional secondary type games during pendency of the secondary game indication cycle (see figure 5, column 7 lines 21-25).

Re claim 7, Cannon i.v., Schneider teaches the invention as discussed above. In addition, Schneider teaches the secondary game indication cycle expires after a designated duration (see figures 8-9, column 7 lines 8-12).

Re claim 8, Cannon i.v., Schneider teaches the invention as discussed above. In addition, Schneider teaches the secondary game indication cycle expires after a predetermined number of primary plays after qualification of the first gaming device (column 7 lines 50-65).

Re claim 9, Cannon i.v., Schneider teaches the invention as discussed above. In addition, Schneider teaches the secondary game indication cycle expires after a predetermined number of predetermined primary game outcomes after qualification of the first gaming device (column 7 lines 50-65).

Re claim 10, Cannon teaches a system for allowing multiple networked gaming device system to participate in a secondary game (paragraphs 0019 and 0029), the system comprising: a first gaming device capable of qualifying for a secondary game (paragraphs 0048-0049), controller increases the secondary game payout award

Art Unit: 3714

(paragraphs 0058-0059), the controller initiates the secondary game and awards the secondary game payout award to all qualified gaming devices including the first gaming device and the additional devices qualified to participate in the secondary game (paragraphs 0058-0061), controller increases the secondary game payout award for each qualified gaming device (paragraphs 0052-0053).

However, Cannon fails to teach the following claim limitation as taught by Schneider: a controller for providing a secondary game indication cycle indicative that the first gaming device has qualified for the secondary game (see figures 5-6, column 7 lines 8-12), and additional gaming devices qualified to participate in the secondary game before expiration of said secondary game indication cycle (column 7 lines 21-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to modify Cannon invention in view of Schneider in order to provide an electronic gaming system and method that adjust the threshold to initiate a bonus period depending upon the number of eligible gaming machines over a network link, and a bonusing system has a demonstrable effect on game payback percentage to encourage play and increase enjoyment as taught by Schneider (paragraph 0017 lines 1-4).

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3714

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as per the attached Notice of References Cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN L. CARLOS whose telephone number is (571)270-3077. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm EST Mon-Fri (alternate Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571)272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alvin L Carlos/ Examiner, Art Unit 3714 October 08, 2008

Art Unit: 3714

/XUAN M. THAI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3715